arXiv:1604.01449v1 [math.AG] 5 Apr 2016

Convolution of Picard-Fuchs equations

Hossein Movasati
Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada, IMPA,
Estrada Dona Castorina, 110,
22460-320, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil,
E-mail: hossein@impa.br
Stefan Reiter
Mathematisches Institut, Universität Bayreuth
95440 Bayreuth, Germany
E-mail: Stefan.Reiter@uni-bayreuth.de

Abstract

We determine explicit generators for a cohomology group constructed from a solution of a fuchsian linear differential equation and describe its relation with cohomology groups with coefficients in a local system. In the parametrized case, this yields into an algorithm which computes new fuchsian differential equations from those depending on multi-parameters. This generalizes the classical convolution of solutions of fuchsian differential equations.

1 Introduction

Explicit expressions for Picard-Fuchs equations (or Gauss-Manin connections in a general context) attached to families of algebraic varieties are usually huge even if the corresponding family is simple, for examples see the first author's homepage. However, there are some families of algebraic varieties for which such expressions are small enough to fit into a mathematical paper, but one is not able to calculate them through the Dwork-Griffiths method (see for instance [Gri69]) or its modification in the context of Brieskorn modules, see [Mov11] (we call this algebraic method). For such families, we first compute a period and then the corresponding Picard-Fuchs equation, see for instance [AESZ10] (we call this transcendental method). The main reason why computing Picard-Fuchs equations fails through the algebraic method is that in this way we produce huge polynomials and the Groebner basis algorithm fails to work. The transcendental method is restricted to a very particular families of algebraic varieties.

In this article, we propose a new method which uses the internal fibration structure of algebraic varieties in order to perform Picard-Fuchs equation computations. It involves only solving linear equations and it is a generalization of the classical convolution of solutions of fuchsian differential equations and Deligne's work on the cohomology with coefficients in a local system, see [Del70]. One of our main motivations for the present work is the increasing need for explicit expressions of Picard-Fuchs equations in Topological String Theory and in particular in the B-model of mirror symmetry, see for instance [COGP91].

Let us be given:



and a global meromorphic section $\omega_i,\ i=1,2$, of the n_i-th cohomology bundle of $X_i \to \mathbb{P}^1_x \times \mathbb{P}^1_y$. Here, $X_i,\ i=1,2$, are two algebraic varieties over $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{P}^1_*,\ *=x,y$, is the projective line with the coordinate system $*$ and all the arrows are morphisms of algebraic varieties. The convolution of the above data in the framework of Algebraic Geometry is simply the fiber product

$$X \to \mathbb{P}^1_y, \quad X := \cup_{y\in\mathbb{P}^1_y} X_{1,y} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1_x} X_{2,y}, \quad \omega := dx \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2.$$

Here, $X_{i,y},\ i=1,2$, is the fiber of $X_i \to \mathbb{P}^1_y$ over the point $y \in \mathbb{P}^1_y$ and ω gives us a global meromorphic section of the (n_1+n_2+1)-the cohomology bundle of $X \to \mathbb{P}^1_y$. Let $\delta_{i,x,y},\ i=1,2$ be a continuous family of cycles in the fibers of $X_i \to \mathbb{P}^1_x \times \mathbb{P}^1_y$. Knowing the Picard-Fuchs equation of the periods $p_i(x,y) := \int_{\delta_{i,x,y}} \omega_i$, we give an algorithm for computing the Picard-Fuchs equation of

$$p(y) := \int_\delta p_1(x,y)p_2(x,y)dx \tag{1}$$

where δ is any closed path in the x-plane such that p_1 and p_2 along δ are one valued. This integral can be written as the integration of ω over a cycle $\tilde{\delta}_y \in H_{n_1+n_2+1}(X_y, \mathbb{Z})$.

2 Cohomology with coefficients in a local system

In this section we remind some basic facts on local systems and connections with regular singularities. For further details, the reader is referred to [Del70]. We fix a field k of characteristic zero and not necessarily algebraically closed and work over the category of algebraic varieties over k. If k is a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{C}(t)$, where t is a multi-parameter, then for an algebraic variety M over k we use the same letter M to denote the underlying complex variety or family of varieties; being clear in the text which we mean.

2.1 Flat connections

Let M be a smooth variety, E be a vector bundle over M. We consider a flat regular connection

$$\nabla : E \to \Omega^1(E).$$

We use the same notation E for both the vector bundle and the sheaf of its sections. We have the induced maps

$$\nabla_i : \Omega^i(E) \to \Omega^{i+1}(E), \quad \nabla_i(\omega \otimes e) = d\omega \otimes e + (-1)^i \omega \wedge \nabla(e)$$

and the integrability is by definition $\nabla_1 \circ \nabla_0 = 0$. It implies that $\nabla_{i+1} \circ \nabla_i = 0$ and so we have the complex $(\Omega^i(E), \nabla_i)$. According to the comparison theorem of Grothendieck, see for instance Deligne's notes [Del70] Theorem 6.2 we have canonical isomorphisms

$$H^*(M, \mathcal{O}(E)) \to \mathbb{H}^*(M^{an}, \Omega^*(E)) \leftarrow \mathbb{H}^*(M, \Omega^*(E)) \tag{2}$$

of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces. Here, M^{an} is the underlying complex variety of M, $\mathcal{O}(E)$ is the sheaf of constant sections of E and $H^*(M, \mathcal{O}(E))$ is the Cech cohomology with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}(E)$. The first $\mathbb{H}$ is the hypercohomology in the complex context and the second one is the algebraic hypercohomology. Note that, $\Omega^*(E)$ is an algebraic sheaf and so its sections

have poles of finite order along a compactification of M. If M is an affine variety then $H^i(M, \Omega^*(E)) = 0$ for $i > 0$ and so

$$\mathbb{H}^i(M, \Omega^*(E)) \cong \frac{\ker\left(H^0(M, \Omega^i(E)) \to H^0(M, \Omega^{i+1}(E))\right)}{\operatorname{Im}\left(H^0(M, \Omega^{i-1}(E)) \to H^0(M, \Omega^i(E))\right)} \tag{3}$$

see [Del70] Corollary 6.3.

2.2 Logarithmic differential forms

Let us now consider a meromorphic connection ∇ on X with poles along a normal crossing divisor $S \subset X$, and hence, it induces a holomorphic connection on $M := X \backslash S$. We denote by $\Omega^1_X\langle S\rangle$ the sheaf of meromorphic differential forms in X with only logarithmic poles along S. The sheaf $\Omega^p_X\langle S\rangle$ is p-times wedge product of $\Omega^1_X\langle S\rangle$. If ∇ has only logarithmic poles along S, see [Del70] page 78, then ∇ induces $\Omega^p_X\langle S\rangle(E) \to \Omega^{p+1}_X\langle S\rangle(E)$ and we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{H}^*(X, \Omega^*_X\langle S\rangle(E)) \cong \mathbb{H}^*(X, \Omega^*_X(E)) \tag{4}$$

induced by inclusion and then restriction to M provided that the residue matrix of ∇ along the irreducible components of S does not have eigenvalues in $\mathbb{N}$, see [Del70] Corollary 3.15. These conditions will appear later in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. If X is an affine variety then we conclude that in (3) every element is represented by a logarithmic differential, see also [Del70] Corollary 6.10.

The hypercohomology groups (2) and (4) are finite dimensional k-vector space, see [Del70] Proposition 6.10 and [Dim04] Proposition 2.5.4. However, explicit bases for these cohomology groups and algorithms which compute an element as a linear combination of the basis, are not the main focus of [Del70, Dim04]. A regular connection may not have logarithmic poles along S and one has to modify it in order to get such a property, see Manin's result in [Del70] Proposition 5.4. In our terminology this is the same as to write any regular differential equation in the Okubo format, see §4.1. All these together, leads us to that fact that the theoretical approach in [Del70] is not applicable to our main problem posed the Introduction.

2.3 Relation with integrals

Let us now consider meromorphic global sections $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$ of E such that for points x in some open Zariski subset of M, $e_i(x), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, form a basis of E_x. Replacing M with this Zariski subset, we can assume that this property is valid for all $x \in M$. In this way E becomes a trivial bundle. Let $e = [e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n]$ and

$$\nabla(e^{\mathsf{tr}}) = A \cdot e^{\mathsf{tr}}$$

where A is a $n \times n$ matrix whose entries are regular differential forms in M (with poles along the complement of M in its compactification). We identify $(\Omega^i_M)^n$ with $\Omega^i(E)$ through the map $\omega \mapsto \omega \cdot e^{\mathsf{tr}}$ and we get:

$$\nabla_i : (\Omega^i_M)^n \to (\Omega^{i+1}_M)^n, \quad \nabla_i\omega = d\omega + (-1)^i\omega A$$

and so

$$\mathbb{H}^i(M, \Omega^*(E)) \cong \frac{\ker\left(H^0(M, \Omega^i_M)^n \to H^0(M, \Omega^{i+1}_M)^n\right)}{\operatorname{Im}\left(H^0(M, \Omega^{i-1}_M)^n \to H^0(M, \Omega^i_M)^n\right)}$$

Let $\check{E}$, $\check{\nabla} : \check{E} \to \Omega^1(\check{E})$ and $\check{e}_i$ be the dual bundle to E, the dual connection and the dual basis, respectively. We have $\nabla \check{e}^{\mathsf{tr}} = -A^{\mathsf{tr}} \check{e}^{\mathsf{tr}}$. For a flat section I of $\check{E}$ we write $I = \check{e} \cdot f$, where $f = [f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n]^{\mathsf{tr}}$ and f_i's are holomorphic functions in a small open set U in M. We have $0 = \nabla I = (\nabla \check{e}) f + \check{e} df = \check{e}(df - Af)$, and so, we get a system

$$L: \quad dY = AY$$

with the solution f. Let us define

$$H^0(M, \Omega^i_M)^n_f := \{\omega \in H^0(M, \Omega^i_M)^n \mid \omega \cdot f = 0\}$$

and let H^i_f be the i-th cohomology group of the complex $\Big(H^0(M, \Omega^i_M)^n_f, \nabla_i\Big)$. We also define

$$H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(M, L) := \frac{\{\omega = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k \omega_k \mid \omega_k \in H^0(M, \Omega^i_M), \quad d\omega = 0\}}{\{d(\sum_{k=1}^n f_k \omega_k) \mid \omega_k \in H^0(M, \Omega^{i-1}_M)\}}. \tag{5}$$

We have the exact sequence

$$H^i_f \to \mathbb{H}^i(M, \Omega^*(E)) \to H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(M, L) \to H^{i+1}_f \tag{6}$$

which is the part of the long exact sequence of the short exact sequence $0 \to H^0(M, \Omega^i_M)^n_f \xrightarrow{j} H^0(M, \Omega^i_M)^n \to \mathrm{cokernel}(j) \to 0$.

From now on we use the cohomology group $H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(M, L)$. The advantage of this is that we can integrate its elements. Let δ be a topological i-cycle in M such that the restriction of the analytic continuations of f_k's to δ is one valued. For ω in the right hand side (5) the integration $\int_\delta \omega$ is well-defined. One of our motivations in the present text is to study this integral. Later we will see that for M the punctured line $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(M, L)$ is finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space and so the $\mathbb{C}$ vector space generated by

$$\int_\delta f_i \omega, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \quad \omega \in H^0(M, \Omega^i_M)$$

for a fixed δ is of finite dimension.

3 Cohomology of linear differential equations

In this section we translate the machinery introduced in the previous section for the case of the punctured line, that is, M is $\mathbb{P}^1$ minus a finite number of points. We consider local systems given by fuchsian differential equations and we give an explicit set of generators for the corresponding cohomology groups. For simplicity, we work with $\mathsf{k} \subset \mathbb{C}$. The case $\mathsf{k} \subset \mathbb{C}(t)$ is reduced to the previous one by taking t as a collection of algebraically independent transcendental numbers in $\mathbb{C}$.

3.1 The case of the punctured projective line

Let

$$L: Y' = AY, \quad ' = \partial_x \tag{7}$$

be a fuchsian differential system of dimension n with a solution $f = [f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_n]^{\mathsf{tr}}$. We assume that the entries of A are in $\mathsf{k}(x)$. Let also $S \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ be the set of singularities of of L. We define

$$H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - S, L) := \frac{\langle pf_i dx \mid p \in \mathsf{k}(x), \quad \mathrm{pol}(p) \subset S, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\rangle_{\mathsf{k}}}{\langle d(pf_i) \mid p \in \mathsf{k}(x), \quad \mathrm{pol}(p) \subset S, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\rangle_{\mathsf{k}}}$$

which is the same as in (5) for $\mathsf{k} = \mathbb{C}$. For a fuchsian differential operator

$$L := p_0\partial_x^n + \ldots + p_{n-1}\partial_x + p_n, \quad p_i \in \mathsf{k}[x] \tag{8}$$

with a solution f, that is $Lf = 0$, we can attach the linear differential system (7) with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ldots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ -\frac{p_n}{p_0} & -\frac{p_{n-1}}{p_0} & -\frac{p_{n-2}}{p_0} & \ldots & -\frac{p_1}{p_0} \end{pmatrix}$$

and so

$$H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - S, L) := \frac{\langle pf^{(i)} dx \mid p \in \mathsf{k}(x), \quad \mathrm{pol}(p) \subset S, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1\rangle_{\mathsf{k}}}{\langle d(pf^{(i)}) \mid p \in \mathsf{k}(x), \quad \mathrm{pol}(p) \subset S, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1\rangle_{\mathsf{k}}}.$$

3.2 Indicial equation

In order to study the fuchsian differential equation (8), $Ly = 0$, near a point $t \in \mathbb{P}^1$ it is useful to compute its Riemann-scheme [Beu07, Section 3]. Let

$$a_{i,t} := \lim_{x \to t}(x - t)^i \frac{p_i}{p_0} \in \mathsf{k} \qquad t \in \mathsf{k},$$

$$a_{i,\infty} := \lim_{x \to \infty}(-x)^i \frac{p_i}{p_0} \in \mathsf{k}.$$

Since L is fuchsian we have

$$\frac{p_i}{p_0} = \frac{a_{i,t}}{(x-t)^i} + R_{i,t}, \quad \mathrm{ord}_{x=t} R_{i,t} \geq -i + 1,$$

for any finite $t \in \mathsf{k}$, and for any $l \geq n$

$$x^l \frac{p_i}{p_0} = (-1)^i a_{i,\infty} x^{l-i} + P_{i,l,\infty}(x) + R_{i,l,\infty}(x).$$

where $P_{i,l,\infty}(x)$ is a polynomial in x of degree $< l - i$ and $R_{i,l,\infty}$ is a sum over all finite singularities $t_j \in \bar{\mathsf{k}}$ of L, of polynomials in $\frac{1}{x-t_j}$ of degree $\leq i$. Note that both $R_{i,l,\infty}$ and $P_{i,l,\infty}$ are defined over k. We conclude that the differential operator L can be also written in the format

$$\partial_x^{(n)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a_{i,t}}{(x-t)^i}\partial_x^{(n-i)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{i,t}\partial_x^{(n-i)}, \tag{9}$$

$$x^l\partial_x^{(n)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,\infty}x^{l-i}\partial_x^{(n-i)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n}(P_{i,l,\infty} + R_{i,l,\infty})\partial_x^{(n-i)}. \tag{10}$$

Furthermore the indicial equation I_t at t is given by

$$I_t = X(X-1)\cdots(X-n+1) + a_{1,t}X(X-1)\cdots(X-n+2) + \cdots + a_{n,t} \tag{11}$$

$$I_\infty = X(X+1)\cdots(X+n-1) + a_{1,\infty}X(X+1)\cdots(X+n-2) + \cdots + a_{n,\infty} \tag{12}$$

The Riemann scheme of L at a point $t \in \mathsf{k} \cup \{\infty\}$ is the set of the roots of I_t.

3.3 Explicit set of generators, $\mathsf{k} = \bar{\mathsf{k}}$

We are now in a position to describe an explicit set of generators for the cohomology group $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1 - S, L)$.

Theorem 1. *Let k be an algebraically closed subfield of $\mathbb{C}$. If the fuchsian differential operator L has no integer exponent $\geq n$ in the Riemann-scheme at a finite point and no positive integer exponent at ∞ then $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - S, L)$ is generated by*

$$(x - t_j)^{-1} f^{(i)} dx, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, r, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{13}$$

and so it is of dimension at most $n \cdot r$.

Proof. All the qualities below are in the cohomology group $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - S, L)$ that is obviously generated by

$$f^{(i)} x^l dx, \frac{f^{(i)}}{(x - t_j)^l} dx, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, r.$$

At first we show that $\frac{f^{(i)}}{(x-t_j)^l} dx, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$, is in the k-vector space V generated by (13). Since

$$0 = d(\frac{f^{(i)}}{(x - t_j)^l}) = \frac{f^{(i+1)}}{(x - t_j)^l} dx + (-l) \frac{f^{(i)}}{(x - t_j)^{l+1}} dx$$

we get

(14)

$$\frac{f^{(i)}}{(x - t_j)^l} dx = \frac{1}{l-1} \frac{f^{(i+1)}}{(x - t_j)^{l-1}} dx = \cdots = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(l-1)\cdots(l-n+i)} \frac{f^{(n)}}{(x-t_j)^{l-n+i}} dx & i + l \geq n + 1 \\ \frac{1}{(l-1)!} \frac{f^{(i+l-1)}}{x - t_j} dx & i + l < n + 1 \end{cases}$$

Now, we use induction on $i + l$. For $i + l < n + 1$, the claim follows from the second case in (14). Thus by the first case in (14) we can assume $i = n$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f^{(n)}}{(x - t_j)^l} dx &\overset{(9)}{=} -(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,t_j} \frac{f^{(n-i)}}{(x - t_j)^{i+l}}) dx - (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{R_{i,t_j}}{(x - t_j)^l} f^{(n-i)}) dx \\ &\overset{(14)}{=} -(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a_{i,t_j}}{l(l+1)\cdots(l+i-1)}) \frac{f^{(n)} dx}{(x - t_j)^l} - (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{R_{i,t_j}}{(x - t_j)^l} f^{(n-i)}) dx. \end{aligned}$$

In $\frac{R_{i,t_j}}{(x-t_j)^l} f^{(n-i)} dx$ there appear only terms $\frac{f^{(n-i)}}{(x-t_j)^{l+k}} dx$ with $k \leq i - 1$ and terms $\frac{f^{(n-i)}}{(x-t_{j'})^i} dx$ for $j' \neq j$. Using the first case in (14) the former terms are by induction in V and the latter terms by the second case in (14). By assumption we get

$$1 + (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a_{i,t_j}}{l(l+1)\cdots(l+i-1)}) \overset{(11)}{=} \frac{I_{t_j}(l + n - 1)}{l \cdots (l + n - 1)} \neq 0, \ \forall l \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence $\frac{f^{(n)}}{(x-t_j)^l} dx \in V$.

Similarly we prove that $x^l f^{(i)} dx$ is in the vector space V. If $l - i < 0$ then we have

$$x^l f^{(i)} dx = -(l-1) x^{l-1} f^{(i-1)} dx = \cdots = 0.$$

For $l-i \geq 0$ we use induction on $l-i$ and we have

$$x^l f^{(i)} dx = \frac{1}{-(l+1)} x^{l+1} f^{(i+1)} dx = \cdots = \frac{(-1)^{n-i}}{(l+1)\cdots(l+n-i)} x^{l+n-i} f^{(n)} dx \tag{15}$$

and so we can assume that $i = n$. Now, for $l \geq n$ we have by (15) and (10)

$$x^l f^{(n)} dx \;=\; -\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,\infty} x^{l-i} f^{(n-i)} dx - \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i,l,\infty} f^{(n-i)} dx - \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{i,l,\infty} f^{(n-i)} dx.$$

The second sum is by hypothesis of induction in V and the third by (14). The first sum is by (15)

$$-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^i a_i}{(l-i+1)(l-i+2)\cdots l}\right) x^l f^{(n)} dx \overset{(12)}{=} \frac{(1 - I_\infty(l-n+1))}{l\cdots(l-n+1)} x^l f^{(n)} dx.$$

Therefore, since $l-n+1$ is not an exponent at ∞ we get $x^l f^{(n)} dx$ is in V.

□

Remark 1. Since $x^i f^{(n)} dx = 0$ in $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - S, L)$ for $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$, we obtain n k-linear relations between the generators (13) of $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - S, L)$.

Remark 2. If ∞ is no singularity then the exponents at ∞ are $0, -1, \ldots, -n+1$. Thus the condition that the exponent is positive is compatible with the condition that the exponents are $\geq n$ at the finite singularities.

Remark 3. Without the hypothesis on indicial equations of L, we have to add the following elements

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{f^{(n)}}{(x-t_j)^l} dx, && \text{if } I_{t_j}(l+n-1) = 0, \\ &x^l f^{(n)} dx, && \text{if } I_\infty(l-n+1) = 0,\ l \geq n \end{aligned}$$

to the set (13) in order to get a set of generators.

3.4 Explicit set of generators, $\mathsf{k} \neq \bar{\mathsf{k}}$

In case $\mathsf{k} \neq \bar{\mathsf{k}}$ and for computational purposes we modify Theorem 1 and reprove it over k. For this we proceed as follows. Let

$$\Delta = \prod_{i=1}^{r} (x - t_i)$$

where $t_i \in \bar{\mathsf{k}}$ are the finite singular points of $L = 0$ (without repetition). Since L is defined over k, the Galois group of $\bar{\mathsf{k}}$ over k acts on t_j's and so $\Delta \in \mathsf{k}[x]$. Thus we can write L in the following way

$$L = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \Delta^i p_{n-i}(x) \partial_x^i, \qquad p_i \in \mathsf{k}[x],\ \deg p_i \leq i(r-1), \quad p_0 = 1$$

see for instance [IKSY91, I. Prop. 4.2].

Theorem 2. *If L has no integer exponent $\geq n$ in the Riemann-scheme at a finite point and no positive integer exponent at ∞ then $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - S, L)$ is generated by*

$$\frac{x^j f^{(i)} dx}{\Delta}, \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, r-1, \ i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1. \tag{16}$$

Proof. The $\bar{\mathsf{k}}$-vector space $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - S, L) \otimes_{\mathsf{k}} \bar{\mathsf{k}}$ has a set of generators (13) and for fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\langle \frac{f^{(i)} dx}{x - t_j} \mid j = 1, \ldots, r \rangle_{\bar{\mathsf{k}}} = \langle \frac{x^k f^{(i)} dx}{\Delta} \mid k = 0, \ldots, r-1 \rangle_{\bar{\mathsf{k}}}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1.$$

□

In order to implement the above proof in a computer, one has to introduce new variables t_j for each singularity and so it does not give an effective algorithm which writes an element of $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1 - S, L)$ in terms of the generators (16). We give a second proof which is algorithmic and does not use $\bar{\mathsf{k}}$.

By the extended Euclidean algorithm, there are polynomials $a, b \in \mathsf{k}[x]$ such that

$$1 = a\Delta + b\Delta'.$$

For $t \in \mathsf{k}$ we define

$$c_t := 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_i b^i}{t(t+1)\cdots(t+i-1)} \in \mathsf{k}[x]. \tag{17}$$

Lemma 1. *For a fixed $t \in \mathsf{k}$, we have $gcd(c_t, \Delta) = 1$ if and only if $t + n - 1$ is not an exponent of L at finite singularities t_j, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, r$.*

Proof. Let t_j be a root of Δ. We have

$$1 = b(t_j) \cdot \Delta'(t_j), \quad \Delta'(t_j) = (\frac{\Delta}{x - t_j})(t_j)$$

and so

$$a_{i,t_j} := \lim_{x \to t_j} \frac{p_i(x)(x - t_j)^i}{\Delta^i} = p_i(t_j)(\frac{1}{\Delta'(t_j)})^i = p_i(t_j) b(t_j)^i.$$

Thus multiplying c_t by $t(t+1)\cdots(t+n-1)$ and evaluating x at t_j gives the value of the indicial equation I_{t_j} evaluated at $t + n - 1$. □

Second Proof of Theorem 2. All the qualities below are in the cohomology group $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \Delta, L)$. Obviously it is generated by

$$f^{(i)} x^l dx, \frac{f^{(i)} x^k}{\Delta^l} dx, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1, \ k = 0, 1, \ldots, r-1.$$

Note that by division over Δ, it is enough to consider $0 \leq k < r$. Let V be the k-vector space generated by (16). At first we show that $\frac{f^{(j)} x^k}{\Delta^l} dx \in V$. Again for $p \in \mathsf{k}[x]$ we have

$$0 = d(\frac{f^{(j)} p}{\Delta^l}) = \frac{(f^{(j)} p)'}{\Delta^l} dx + (-l) \frac{f^{(j)} p \Delta'}{\Delta^{l+1}} dx.$$

For $l \in \mathbb{N}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{f^{(j)}x^k}{\Delta^{l+1}}dx &= \frac{f^{(j)}x^k(a\Delta + b\Delta')}{\Delta^{l+1}}dx \\
&= \frac{f^{(j)}x^k a}{\Delta^l}dx + \frac{f^{(j)}x^k b\Delta'}{\Delta^{l+1}}dx \\
&= \frac{f^{(j)}x^k a}{\Delta^l}dx + \frac{1}{l}\frac{(f^{(j)}x^k b)'}{\Delta^l}dx \\
&= \frac{f^{(j)}x^k a}{\Delta^l}dx + \frac{1}{l}\frac{f^{(j)}(x^k b)'}{\Delta^l}dx + \frac{1}{l}\frac{f^{(j+1)}x^k b}{\Delta^l}dx. \qquad (18)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence if $j < n-1$ we can reduce the pole order. It remains to show that for $j = n-1$ we can reduce the pole order. Let $q \in k[x]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{qf^{(n)}}{\Delta^l}dx &= \frac{\Delta^n qf^{(n)}}{\Delta^{l+n}}dx \qquad (19) \\
&= -\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{qp_i f^{(n-i)}}{\Delta^{l+i}}dx \\
&\overset{(17)}{=} -q(c_l - 1)\frac{f^{(n)}}{\Delta^l}dx + \text{lower pole orders terms}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows by (17) and (18). Since $gcd(c_l, \Delta) = 1$ we have polynomials $A, B \in \mathsf{k}[x]$ such that $Ac_l + B\Delta = 1$. Hence the pole order of

$$\frac{x^k f^{(n)}}{\Delta^l}dx = \frac{(x^k A)c_l f^{(n)}}{\Delta^l}dx + \frac{x^k B f^{(n)}}{\Delta^{l-1}}dx$$

can be reduced to $l-1$ using (19) with $q = x^k A$. Reducing the pole order of Δ may yield also terms $x^j f^{(i)}dx$. However by the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1 we have $x^j f^{(i)}dx \in V$. □

Remark 4. In Theorem 2, without the hypothesis on indicial equations of L, we have to add the following finite number of elements

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{x^k f^{(n)}}{\Delta^l}dx, \qquad \text{if } 0 \le k < \deg\left(gcd(\Delta, c_l)\right), \\
&x^l f^{(n)}dx, \qquad \text{if } I_\infty(l-n+1) = 0,\ l \ge n
\end{aligned}$$

to the set (13) in order to get a set of generators.

3.5 Cohomologies over function fields

In this section we turn to the main problem posed in the Introduction, that is, how to compute the linear differential equation of (1).

Let us assume that $\mathsf{k} = \tilde{\mathsf{k}}(y)$, where y is a variable and $\tilde{\mathsf{k}}$ is a field, and so we have the derivation

$$\partial_y : \mathsf{k} \to \mathsf{k}.$$

We consider a two dimensional system in x, y variables:

$$df = M \cdot f, \quad M = Adx + Bdy,\ A, B \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n\times n}(\tilde{\mathsf{k}}(x, y))$$

or equivalently $\partial_x f = Af, \quad \partial_y f = Bf$. We further assume that it is integrable, that is, $dM = -M \wedge M$ or equivalently $\partial_x B - \partial_y A = BA - AB$. Any entry f_i of f satisfies a linear differential equation $L_i = 0$, $L_i \in \mathsf{k}[x, \partial_x]$, with respect to the variable x. From the integrability condition we conclude that a solution of $L_i = 0$ depends holomorphically on both x, y. We need that ∂_y induces a well-defined map

$$\partial_y : H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - S, L_i) \to H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - S, L_i) \tag{20}$$

For instance, if we make a derivation ∂_y of a solution f_i of $L_i = 0$ and the result is still a $\mathsf{k}[x, \frac{1}{\Delta}]$-linear combination of $\partial_x^j f_i, \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ then (20) is well-defined. For simplicity we have used the same notation ∂_y. We write (20) in the generators (16):

$$\partial_y \omega = C \cdot \omega$$

where ω is the $nr \times 1$ matrix containing the elements (16). The differential system

$$\partial_y Y = C \cdot Y \tag{21}$$

is satisfied by $Y = \int \omega$, where the integration takes place over a fixed closed path in the x-domain such that the entries of ω are one valued.

Let us now come back to integrals (1). Let

$$df_i = A_i f_i, \ i = 1, 2, \quad A_i \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n_i \times n_i}(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1_x \times \mathbb{P}^1_y}). \tag{22}$$

be the Gauss-Manin connection of the family $X_i \to \mathbb{P}^1_x \times \mathbb{P}^1_y, \quad i = 1, 2$. We make the Kronecker product of these two systems and obtain the system

$$df = Af, \text{ where } A = A_1 \otimes I_{n_2} + I_{n_1} \otimes A_2 \tag{23}$$

where I_{n_i} is the $n_i \times n_i$ identity matrix. A solution of (23) is given by $f_1 \otimes f_2$.

Let $p_i, \ i = 1, 2$ be as in the Introduction. It can be the first entry of f_i. We calculate the Picard-Fuchs equation L of $p_1 p_2$ using (23) and with respect to the x variable. Now, we find a basis $\omega_i, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, of the cohomology group $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_x - S, L)$ and as in §3.5 we compute ∂_y in this basis and obtain the system (21). This process generalizes the classical convolution. In a geometric context this is as follows. Let $X_i := \tilde{X}_i \times \mathbb{P}^1_y \to \mathbb{P}^1_y, \ i = 1, 2$ be projections on the second coordinate, $X_1 \to \mathbb{P}^1_x$ be a morphism which does not depend on the second coordinate and $X_2 \to \mathbb{P}^1_x$ be of the form $y - M$, where $M : X_2 \to \mathbb{P}^1_x$ does not depend on the second coordinate and by abuse of notation we have used y as the projection map on the second coordinate. We can see easily that $p_1(x, y) = p_1(x)$ and $p_2(x, y) = p_2(y - x)$. The integral (1) in this case is the classical convolution. The details of the classical convolution will be explained in the next section.

4 Convolution

In this section we remind the classical convolution of two solutions of fuchsian linear differential equations and argue that the material in §2 is a generalization of this concept.

4.1 Okubo system

A linear differential system of the format

$$(xI_n - T)Y' = AY, \tag{24}$$

$$T = \mathrm{diag}(t_1 I_{n_1}, \ldots, t_r I_{n_r}),\ \sum n_i = n,\ \ T, A \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n\times n}(\mathbb{C})$$

is called an Okubo system (in normal form) and it is a useful format for doing computations, such as convolution. For a fuchsian system

$$D_a : Y' = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{a_i}{x - t_i} Y,\ a_i \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n\times n}(\mathbb{C}),\quad a = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_r)$$

we introduce the following special Okubo system:

$$D_{c_\mu(a)} : (xI_{nr} - T)X' = c_\mu(a)X,\ c_\mu(a) := \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \ldots & a_r \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_1 & \ldots & a_r \end{pmatrix} + \mu I_{nr},\ \mu \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{25}$$

where $n_i = n,\ i = 1, \ldots, r$. Via the following procedure we see that any fuchsian system is a factor system of an Okubo system. Let $f(x)$ be a solution of D_a. Then

$$\tilde{f}(x) \ := \ \begin{pmatrix} f(x)(x - t_1)^{-1} \\ \vdots \\ f(x)(x - t_r)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{26}$$

satisfies the Okubo system $D_{c_{-1}}(a)$. If k is not not algebraically closed we work with the following equivalent Okubo system defined over k. Let L be a fuchsian system of dimension n

$$L : \qquad Y' = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{x^{i-1}}{\Delta} \tilde{a}_i Y$$

with $\tilde{a}_i \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n\times n}(\mathsf{k})$ and $\Delta = \sum_{i=0}^{r} b_{r-i} x^i \in \mathsf{k}[x], b_0 = 1$. If f is a solution of L then

$$(f/\Delta, xf/\Delta, \ldots, x^{r-1} f/\Delta)^{\mathsf{tr}}$$

satisfies the Okubo system

$$(xI_{rn} - \tilde{T})Y' = \tilde{A}Y,$$

where

$$\tilde{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n & 0 \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & I_n \\ -b_r I_n & \cdots & -b_2 I_n & -b_1 I_n \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \tilde{a}_1 & \cdots & \tilde{a}_r \end{pmatrix} - I_{rn}.$$

4.2 Cohomology of Okubo system

For the matrix A in (24) we consider its submatrices $A = [A_{ij}]$ according to the partition $n = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_r$ and in particular its $n_i \times n_i$ submatrices A_{ii} lying in the diagonal of A.

Theorem 3. *Let L be the Okubo system (24) with solution f. If*

$$\det(A + mI_{n\times n}) \neq 0,\ \det(A_{ii} - mI_{n_i\times n_i}) \neq 0, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N} \tag{27}$$

then $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - S, L)$ is generated by

$$(x - t_i)^{-1} f_j dx, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, r, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \tag{28}$$

and so it is of dimension at most $n \cdot r$.

Proof. In $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - S, L)$ and for $m \neq -1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}(x - t_i)^m f_j dx &= -(m+1)^{-1}(x - t_i)^{m+1} f_j' dx \\ &= -(m+1)^{-1}(x - t_i)^{m+1}(x - t_j)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{jk} f_k dx,\end{aligned}$$

where $A = (a_{jk})$. If m is negative and $t_i \neq t_j$ then we have reduced the pole order. If m is negative and $t_i = t_j$, in order to reduce the pole order we need $A_{ii} + (m+1)I_{n_i\times n_i}$ to be invertible and if m is positive or zero we need that $A + (m+1)I_{n\times n}$ to be invertible. □

Remark 5. For a linear differential equation of an entry of the Okubo system, the conditions (27) imply the conditions in Theorem 2. Without these conditions a similar observation as in Remark 3 is valid.

4.3 Convolution of Okubo systems

Given two solutions of two Okubo systems we can easily determine the Okubo system that is satisfied by their convolution.

Theorem 4. *Let $f_i(x)$ be a solution of the Okubo system $(xI_{n_i} - T_i)Y_i' = A_i Y_i$, $i = 1, 2$, $A_i \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n_i\times n_i}(\mathbb{C})$. Then*

$$\int f_1(x) \otimes f_2(y - x) dx,$$

where the integration is over a path in the $x \in \mathbb{C}$ plane such that the integrand is one valued, is a solution matrix for the Okubo system

$$(yI_{n_1 n_2} - T_1 \otimes I_{n_2} - I_{n_1} \otimes T_2)Y' = (A_1 \otimes I_{n_2} + I_{n_1} \otimes A_2 + 1)Y. \tag{29}$$

The proof of the above theorem is similar to [DR07, Lemma 4.2]. Note that the system (29) has singularities at $t_i^1 + t_j^2$, $i = 1, \ldots, r_1$, $j = 1, \ldots, r_2$, and possibly at infinity.

4.4 Convolution of fuchsian systems

In the case of fuchsian systems we proceed as follows. Let

$$D_{a^i} : Y' = \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} \frac{a^i_j}{x - t^i_j} Y, \quad a^i_j \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n_i \times n_i}(\mathbb{C}),\ i = 1, 2$$

be two fuchsian systems with solutions f_1, f_2 resp.. Then the Okubo system

$$(yI_{n_1 r_1 n_2 r_2} - (T_1 \otimes I_{n_2 r_2} + I_{n_1 r_1} \otimes T_2))Y' = (c_0(a^1) \otimes I_{n_2 r_2} + I_{n_1 r_1} \otimes c_0(a^2) - I_{n_1 r_1 n_2 r_2})Y$$

has $\int \tilde{f}_1(x) \otimes \tilde{f}_2(y - x)dx$ as solution with $\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2$ as in (26).

5 Examples

In this section we discuss some examples of families of algebraic varieties whose Picard-Fuchs equation can be computed through the methods introduced in this article. We consider the case in which we have only the family X_1 (take X_2 the product of some variety with $\mathbb{P}^1_x \times \mathbb{P}^1_y$). In this case we want to use the Gauss-Manin connection of the two parameter family $X_1 \to \mathbb{P}^1_x \times \mathbb{P}^1_y$ and integrate it over the variable x and obtain the Picard-Fuchs equation of the one parameter family $X_1 \to \mathbb{P}^1_y$ obtained by the composition $X_1 \to \mathbb{P}^1_x \times \mathbb{P}^1_y \to \mathbb{P}^1_y$, where the second map is the projection. A well-known example for this situation is the Legendre family of elliptic curve that is given by

$$y^2 = x(x - 1)(x - t)$$

where t is a parameter. We can compute compute the Picard-Fuchs equation of $\frac{dx}{y}$ either by direct methods or by the methods introduced in this article:

$$I + (8t - 4)I' + (4t^2 - 4t)I'' = 0.$$

In the second case we consider x and t as a parameter and so we get a two parameter family of zero dimensional varieties with two points. For what follows, the polynomial Δ need not to be monic in the x variable. Let us consider the rank 19 family of K3 surfaces given in the affine coordinates (x, y, w) by the equation $P = 0$, where

$$P := y^2 w - 4x^3 + 3axw^2 + bw^3 + cxw - (1/2)(dw^2 + w^4) = 0$$

$$a = (16 + t)(256 + t), \quad b = (-512 + t)(-8 + t)(64 + t),\ c = 0,\ d = 2985984t^3$$

and t is a parameter, see [DHMW14, Section 6.7]. Here, we would like to compute the Picard-Fuchs equation of the holomorphic 2-form given by $\omega = \frac{dx \wedge dy \wedge dw}{dP}$. The generic member of the family has two isolated singularities and so one cannot apply the Griffiths-Dwork method or its modification using Brieskorn modules. In order to apply the methods introduced in this article, we look $P = 0$ as a two parameter family of elliptic curves depending on (t, w). In this case we know the explicit expression of Gauss-Manin connection, see for instance [DHMW14, Section 6]. Using this we can compute the following differential equations for the elliptic integral $f(t, w) := \int \frac{dx \wedge dy}{dP}$

$$\begin{aligned} L \quad : \quad & A_1 f + A_2 \partial_w f + A_3 \partial_w^2 f = 0 \\ & B_1 f + B_2 \partial_w f + B_3 \partial_t f = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where A_i, B_i's are explicit polynomials in w, t with rational coefficients:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= (1283918464548864t^9w - 133116666404426219520t^9 + 14860167413760t^8w^2 - 585466819834281984t^8w + \\
&\quad 72814820327424t^7w^2 - 37469876469394046976t^7w + 1719926784t^6w^3 - 2077451404443648t^6w^2 \\
&\quad +33657152197069740441 6t^6w - 784286613504t^5w^3 + 298249504061128704t^5w^2 - 50194343264256t^4w^3 + \\
&\quad 24931223849681289216t^4w^2 - 144t^3w^5 - 474771456t^3w^4 + 450868486864896t^3w^3 + 65664t^2w^5 + \\
&\quad 4202496tw^5 + 77w^6 - 37748736w^5) \\
A_2 &= (3851755393646592t^9w^2 - 191687999622373756108 8t^9w + 2972033482752t^8w^3 - 175640045950284595 2t^8w^2 + \\
&\quad 145629640654848t^7w^3 - 112409629408182140928t^7w^2 + 1719926784t^6w^4 - 3138467357786112t^6w^3 + \\
&\quad 100971456591209221324 8t^6w^2 - 497664t^5w^5 - 784286613504t^5w^4 + 596499008122257408t^5w^3 - 24385536t^4w^5 - \\
&\quad 50194343264256t^4w^4 + 49862447699362578432t^4w^3 - 432t^3w^6 - 119439360t^3w^5 + 450868486864896t^3w^4 + \\
&\quad 196992t^2w^6 - 99883155456t^2w^5 + 12607488tw^6 - 8349416423424tw^5 + 144w^7 - 113246208w^6) \\
A_3 &= 36w^2(-w^2 + 2985984t^3)\left(-w^4 + (4t^3 - 1824t^2 - 116736t + 1048576)\cdot w^3 + (6912t^5 + 338688t^4 - 7299072t^3 + \right. \\
&\quad \left. 1387266048t^2 + 115964116992t)\cdot w^2 + (11943936t^6 - 5446434816t^5 - 348571828224t^4 + 3131031158784t^3)\cdot w \right. \\
&\quad \left. -89161004482 56t^6\right) \\
B_1 &= -\frac{1}{36}A_3\cdot(-w^2 + 2985984t^3)^{-1}\cdot \\
&\quad \left(2985984t^4w^2 - 3456t^3w^3 + 2842656768t^3w^2 - 34560t^2w^3 + 73383542784t^2w^2 - 7tw^4 + 2211840tw^3 - 952w^4 + \right. \\
&\quad \left. 905969664w^3\right) \\
B_2 &= -13824t^3w^2 + 9746251776t^3w - 138240t^2w^2 + 293534171136t^2w - 24tw^3 + 8847360tw^2 - 3264w^3 + 3623878656w^2 \\
B_3 &= 8(t+256)(t+16)(2985984t^3 - w^2).
\end{aligned}
$$

We use the second equality in order to compute the action of ∂_t on the cohomology group constructed from L. This data is enough to compute the Picard-Fuchs equation of the integral $g(t) = \int f(t,w)dw$ using the techniques introduced in this article. Note that we have to use Theorem 2 together with Remark 4 because the differential equation L has the apparent singularities $-w^2 + 2985984t^3$. The end result has a factor

$$L := 1 + (26t + 512)\partial_t + (36t^2 + 1536t)\partial_t^2 + (8t^3 + 512t^2)\partial_t^3 \tag{30}$$

where $Lg = 0$. This differential operator is obtained by direct computations as in [Mov11, Chapters 4,5]. The function g can be written as the period of $\frac{dx\wedge dy\wedge dw}{dP}$ over two dimensional cycles living in the $K3$-surface, for further details see [DHMW14].

References

[AESZ10] Gert Almkvist, Christian van Enckevort, Duco van Straten and Wadim Zudilin. Tables of Calabi-Yau equations. arxiv: math/0507430, 2005.

[Beu07] Frits Beukers. Gauss' hypergeometric function. In: Arithmetic and Geometry around Hypergeometric Functions. Progr. Math., vol. 260, pp. 23–42. Birkhäuser, Basel (2007).

[COGP91] Philip Candelas, Xenia C. de la Ossa, Paul S. Green, and Linda Parkes. A pair of Calabi-Yau manifolds as an exactly soluble superconformal theory. *Nuclear Phys. B*, 359(1):21–74, 1991.

[Dim04] Alexandru Dimca. Sheaves in topology. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[Del70] Pierre Deligne. *Équations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 163.

[DR07] Michael Dettweiler and Stefan Reiter. Middle convolution of fuchsian systems and the construction of rigid differential systems. *J. Algebra*, 318(1):1–24, 2007.

[DHMW14] Charles Doran, Andrew Harder, Hossein Movasati and Ursula Whitcher. Humbert surfaces and the moduli of lattice polarized K3 surfaces. Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, (String-Math conference 2014), Vol. 93, 2016.

[Gri69] Phillip A. Griffiths. On the periods of certain rational integrals. I, II. *Ann. of Math. (2) 90 (1969), 460-495; ibid. (2)*, 90:496–541, 1969.

[IKSY91] K. Iwasaki, H. Kimura, S. Shimomura, and M. Yoshida, *From Gauss to Painlevé*. Aspects of Mathematics, E16. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1991. A modern theory of special functions.

[Mov11] Hossein Movasati. *Multiple Integrals and Modular Differential Equations*. 28th Brazilian Mathematics Colloquium. Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada, IMPA, 2011.